

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | Our Vision |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | My concern is only about the plans that include Tameside MBC or any council proposing to build on Greenfield sites when there are numerous Brownfield sites available. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | I have no confidence in TMBC's ability to be honest in their communications or intentions because they are only interested in the financial gains to be made from ruining the Greenbelt. |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | Our Strategic Objectives |
| Type | Web |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to: | <p>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</p> <p>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</p> <p>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</p> <p>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</p> <p>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</p> <p>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p> <p>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</p> |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | NA |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | NA |
| Soundness - Effective? | NA |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | Because in Tameside, and particularly Gee Cross, the plan is to rip the soul out of the natural green spaces and the wildlife that depends on it. Only to then "build" new green spaces? Seriously? |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant | TMBC need to use someone with imagination, talent and eco-credentials that can utilise existing brownfield sites. |

| | |
|---|---|
| and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | As previously stated, Tameside MBC is shown quite clearly on the map to be devoid of any green spaces - the irony being that the natural green spaces that currently exist will be covered in disgusting, over-priced houses. |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | JP-C1 An Integrated Network |
| Type | Web |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | Referring specifically to the "Godley Green Garden Village" proposal to cover huge swathes of natural greenbelt with c3000 houses, the now cancelled Mottram Bypass was integral to the GGGV infrastructure and should therefore be massively revised or, preferably, cancelled also. |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village |
| Type | Web |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | JPA 32: South of Hyde |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | As with GGGV, this is another "Greenbelt Grab" and will strip even more natural wildlife habitat, add to traffic and pollution levels, is extremely unpopular with residents and is land that is already prone to flooding. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | As with GGGV, I cannot provide any modifications that can justify this development. |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Title | JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | Infrastructure plans should be agreed in tandem with planning. It is not enough to say "we will need". |
| Family Name | Shreeve |
| Given Name | Karen |
| Person ID | 1287158 |
| Title | Tameside - Green Belt Additions |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | These are tiny amounts of land compared to the huge swathes of greenbelt that TMBC alone plan to eradicate. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you | Cancel GGGV and south of Hyde proposals. |

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.